

REMARKS

1. Introduction

In the Office Action mailed July 14, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1-5, 9-15, 18, 33, 34, 37-43, 46-60, 63-66, 68, 70, 71, and 74-78 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss et al., U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0029178 (“Criss”) in view of Wecker et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,311,058 (“Wecker”).

The Examiner rejected claims 6, 7, 35, 36, 61, 62, 72, and 73 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss in view of Wecker and in further view of Grewe et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,625,673 (“Grewe”).

The Examiner rejected claims 16 and 44 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss in view of Wecker and in further view of Gombrich, U.S. Patent No. 4,916,441 (“Gombrich”).

The Examiner rejected claims 17 and 45 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss in view of Wecker and in further view of Shimura, U.S. Patent No. 5,754,624 (“Shimura”).

The Examiner rejected claims 19-21, 67, and 69 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss in view of Wecker and in further view of Ausems et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,434,403 (“Ausems”).

The Examiner rejected claims 22-25, 29, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss in view of Gerszberg, U.S. Patent No. 5,297,192 (“Gerszberg”).

The Examiner rejected claims 26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss in view of Gerszberg and in further view of Grewe.

The Examiner rejected claim 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss in view of Gerszberg and in further view to Shimura.

The Examiner rejected claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Criss in view of Gerszberg and in further view of Gombrich.

The Examiner indicated that claims 8, 28, 79, and 80 contained allowable subject matter but objected to these claims as being dependent upon rejected base claims.

For the reasons set forth below, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the claims, as amended.

2. Response to Rejections

Applicants thank the Examiner for scheduling the face-to-face interview conducted on September 21, 2004. The interview was attended by Edward F. Bachner, III and Richard A. Machonkin, on behalf of Applicants, and by Examiners William Trost and Keith Ferguson. At the interview, the pending claims were discussed, particularly claim 1, and the prior art of record was discussed, particularly Criss and Wecker. The interview included a demonstration of an implementation of the invention, comprising (1) a wireless server that stored files received over a wireless communications channel and (2) a laptop computer, as display device, coupled to the wireless server via a wireless interface. The demonstration showed how the display device was able to selectively access files stored on the wireless server. The general thrust of the arguments presented to the Examiners was that the teachings of Criss and Wecker did not make the invention obvious under § 103(a). It was agreed that replacing the terminology “wireless intelligent personal server” with

“wireless intelligent network server” throughout the claims would more clearly define the invention and would overcome the prior art of record.

Accordingly, Applicants have amended the claims to replace the terminology “wireless intelligent personal server” with “wireless intelligent network server.” Support for this amendment can be found, for example, at page 13, lines 13-17 and at page 27, lines 7-14 of the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims, as amended, are now allowable over the prior art of record.

3. Conclusion

Applicants submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is hereby requested. Should the Examiner feel that further dialog would advance the subject application to issuance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at any time at (312) 913-0001.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 29, 2004

By: Richard A. Machonkin
Richard A. Machonkin
Reg. No. 41,962

**McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP**
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel.: (312) 913-0001
Fax: (312) 913-0002